PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 4 JUN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATEENTABILITYCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's fill MJB07577WO	e reference	FOR FURTHER	ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application PCT/EP2005/05060		International filing data	ie (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.02.2004
International Patent Class INV. A01G23/06 B0	ssification (IPC) or na 2C18/08 B02C18	ntional classification and 3/14	I IPC	
Applicant MULTI-TIP DESIGN	NS LIMITED et a	l. ·		
,	a a dio oo di la li di l	ornition to trie applica	ant according to Artic	y this International Preliminary Examining le 36.
2. This REPORT c	onsists of a total o	f 5 sheets, including	this cover sheet.	· , &
		ANNEXES, compris		
a. 🛛 sent to th	e applicant and to	the International Bui	reau) a total of 3 she	eets, as follows:
⊠ shee and/o	ts of the description	n, claims and/or drav	vinge which have has	en amended and are the basis of this report y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	ts which supersede nd the disclosure in Demental Box.	e earlier sheets, but we the international ap	which this Authority c plication as filed, as	onsiders contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
			indicate type and nu celectronic form only f the Administrative li	mber of electronic carrier(s)) ,containing a , as indicated in the Supplemental Box nstructions).
4. This report conta	ins indications rela	ating to the following	items:	
Box No. I	Basis of the repor	rt		
☐ Box No. II	Priority	-		
☐ Box No. III	Non-establishmer	nt of opinion with rea	ard to novelty invent	ive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of in	vention	and to novolty, miverit	we step and industrial applicability
⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned statem applicability; citati	ent under Article 35(ons and explanation	2) with regard to nove s supporting such sta	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
☐ Box No. VI	Certain document			
		the international app		
⊠ Box No. VIII	Certain observation	ons on the internation	nal application	
Date of submission of the	demand		Date of completion of	this report
			omplotion of	ало гороп
06.09.2005			13.06.2006	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized officer	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Bunn, D	Superinted the Palantany, in t	
		Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-2086	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050609

_	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
1	. With regard filed, unless	rd to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which as otherwise indicated under this item.	n it wa				
	☐ inte	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.		d to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in originally filed and are not annexed to this report):	which this				
	Description,	ı, Pages					
	1, 3-8	as originally filed					
	2	received on 06.09.2005 with letter of 05.09.2005					
	Claims, Num	where					
	•						
	1-16	received on 06.09.2005 with letter of 05.09.2005	v ⁱ				
	Drawings, Sh	Sheets :					
	1/8-8/8	as originally filed					
	□ a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	☐ The ame	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	☐ the d	☐ the description, pages					
		claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs					
	☐ the s	sequence listing (specify):					
	□ any ta	table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
ŀ.	nad not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
	☐ the de	description, pages claims, Nos.					
	☐ the di	drawings, sheets/figs					
	☐ the se	sequence listing (specify):					
		table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
	* If item	m 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050609

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-16

1-16

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Inventive step (IS)

Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

V. Reasoned statement

- Independent claim 1 is a combination of the features of originally-filed claims 1 & 2, while claims 2-16 correspond to claims 3-17 as filed, such that the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT are fulfilled.
- 2. US-B1-6 375 106 (D1) discloses a tooth 32 for use in a grinding wheel comprising a main body including a slot 34 comprising two substantially planar surfaces (fig.3) suitable for, in use, engaging with a slot in a rotor, and a cutting face 14 connected to and extending away from the main body, as in lines 1-4 of claim 1. The further feature "wherein, in use, the force in the plane of the rotor is transferred onto the rotor via one of the planar surfaces provided on the slot" merely relates to the manner in which the tooth is used, and not to any technical feature thereof. As it would be perfectly possible to mount the tooth of D1 such that said force is transferred to the rotor via one of said planar surfaces, it follows that this feature is likewise derivable from D1.

The cutting face 14 of D1 comprises a single tip arranged transversely to the direction of rotation, and there would be no reason for the skilled person to adopt any other configuration (cf. col.2, l.16-26 & fig.4). It follows that the remaining feature of claim 1 "wherein the cutting face includes at least two tips which are perpendicular to each other" is not derivable from the available prior art.

D1 is the only prior art disclosure of a tooth with a slot comprising two planar surfaces.

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 meets the requirements of novelty and inventive step, Article 33 PCT.

- 3. D1 further discloses a grinding unit comprising a rotor 16 with a rim, teeth 32 and fixing means 26 for retaining the teeth (see, in particular, fig.3). The unit of claim 5 differs from that of D1 in that:
 - i) the teeth are those of claim 1; and
 - ii) a plurality of slots are provided around the rim, in which slots the teeth are retained.

Concerning feature i), as indicated above the teeth of claim 1 are not suggested by the available prior art.

Concerning feature ii), while various documents disclose rotors with slots provided around the rim, in each case these are disclosed in combination with **non**-slotted teeth. There is no prior art disclosure of a slotted rotor in combination with slotted teeth. It follows that the subject matter of claim 4 meets the requirements of novelty and inventive step, Article 33 PCT.

4. Claims 2-3 & 5-16 relate to preferred embodiments of the tooth and grinding unit of claims 1 & 4 respectively, and so likewise meet the requirements of Article 33 PCT.

VII. Certain defects in the application

- 1. The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from D1 being placed in the preamble.
- 2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses, Rule 6.2(b) PCT.

VIII. Certain observations on the application (clarity)

1. Although claims 1 & 4 are drafted as separate independent claims, they effectively relate to the same subject-matter and differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.